

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



Cho

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,124	11/30/2000	Victor L. Vines	108747.00003	2389
75	590 07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
THRASHER ASSOCIATES, LLP			NGUYEN, VI X	
391 SANDHIL RICHARDSON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3731	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	/ X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i e	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 0			
:	09/727,124	VINES, VICTOR L.	-			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Victor X Nguyen	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on £	03 Nove <u>mber 2</u> 003.					
·— · · — · · — · · — · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-20</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) 6-12 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.			•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI</li> </ul>	′	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In the Final Office Action, Claims 1-8 and 10-20 were indicated as allowed. However, in light of a new interpretation of the Setra Model GCT-225 Pressure Transducers dated January 26, 2000 and Marino et al (5,189,907) references, the indicated allowed claims are now rejected.

# Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The specification as originally filed does not provide antecedent basis for "a cavity of a vacuum extraction device for use with fetal extraction".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/727,124

Art Unit: 3731

In claims 1, lines 7 and 9, the phrase "cavity" is unclear. As filed claim 1 recited the pressure detection device being capable of being coupled to tubing. Claims 2-5 recite limitations of the tubing. As amended Claim 1 no longer recites the tubing but a cavity. The applicant does not disclose that the pressure detection device may be adapted to couple to a cavity.

In claims 13, line 9, the phrase "cavity" is vague and unclear.

Claims 1-5 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The original specification does not provide support for a cavity, thus the claims are vague and indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Setra Model GCT-225 Pressure Transducers Specification dated January 26, 2000.

Setra discloses a device [for recording a pressure produced by a vacuum extraction device, the vacuum extraction device enable to couple to a fetus via a suction device], comprising: a cable (Electrical Termination 06) that is attachable (via the cable ends) [to a monitor, the monitor being enabled to record a detected pressure], where a pressure detection device (the variable capacitance sensor) coupled to the cable, the pressure detection device adapted to couple (via the Pressure Fitting) [to a cavity of a vacuum extraction device for use

Application/Control Number: 09/727,124 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

with fetal extraction], such that the pressure detection device is enable to detect a pressure [in the cavity], and where the pressure device further adapted to communicate a detected vacuum pressure [to the monitor] via the cable; [the vacuum extraction device being adapted to produce a vacuum therein via hand-actuation, and the vacuum extraction device comprising a suction device being attachable to a scalp portion of a fetus]. Furthermore, elements 46, 47 in fig. 1 of Marino (5,189,907) appear to anticipate claims 1 and 13. The portion of the claim in brackets above indicate intended use recitations of the pressure detection device. The statement of intended use and other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Setra and Marino which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

## Allowable Subject Matter

#### 5. Claims 6-12 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior art of record, alone or in combination, discloses all of the limitations of claim 6 including, a method of recording a pressure in a vacuum extraction device where placing the vacuum extraction device on a fetus, where the space between the fetus and the vacuum extraction device define a pressure area in the vacuum extraction device, where the vacuum extraction device adapted to couple to a fetus via a suction device; and where detecting the vacuum pressure in the pressure area.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/727,124

Art Unit: 3731

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn **V**/
June 28, 2004

JULIAN W. WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Julian M. Moo